arXiv:1405.2463v1 [math.CV] 10 May 2014

A Komatu-Loewner Equation for Multiple Slits

Christoph Böhm and Wolfgang Lauf

May 13, 2014

Abstract

We give a generalization of the Komatu-Loewner equation to multiple slits. Therefore, we consider an n-connected circular slit disk Ω as our initial domain minus $m \in \mathbb{N}$ disjoint, simple and continuous curves that grow from the outer boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of Ω into the interior. Consequently we get a decreasing family $(\Omega_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ of domains with $\Omega_0 = \Omega$. We will prove that the corresponding Riemann mapping functions g_t from Ω_t onto a circular slit disk, which are normalized by $g_t(0) = 0$ and $g_t'(0) > 0$, satisfy a Loewner equation known as the Komatu-Loewner equation.

1 Introduction and results

Our aim is to generalize the Komatu-Loewner equation (see [1] or [8]) to multiple slits. Therefore, we choose circularly slit disks, that is the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ minus proper concentric slits, to be the class of standard domains. First of all we start with an n-connected ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) circularly slit disk Ω and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ disjoint, simple and continuous curves $\gamma_k : [0,T] \to \bar{\Omega}$ $(k = 1,\dots,m)$ with strictly increasing parametrizations, $\gamma_k\big((0,T]\big) \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma_k(0) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. This induces a family of conformal mappings $(g_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$, using an extended version of Riemann's well known mapping theorem for multiply connected domains:

$$g_t : \Omega_t := \Omega \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_k\big((0,t]\big) \right) \to D_t$$

where D_t denotes a circularly slit disk. If we claim $g_t(0) = 0$, $g_t'(0) > 0$ and that the outer boundary component of Ω_t corresponds to the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$, this mapping is unique. See [4], Chapter 15.6, for further details. Sometimes we write $D(t)$ instead of D_t and $\Omega(t)$ instead of Ω_t. Later we will show (see Proposition 9) that the function $t \mapsto g_t'(0)$ is strictly increasing and continuous in $[0,T]$ (see Proposition 8). As $g_0(z) = z$, it is always possible to choose a parametrization $t(\tau)$ with $g_{t(\tau)}'(0) = e^{\tau}$.



A very important quantity in the single slit case and also in this case is the so called *logarithmic mapping radius* in terms of $\operatorname{lmr}(g) := \ln|g'(0)|$, where g needs to be analytic and locally injective in $z = 0$. Now, we will define a term that "measures" the logarithmic mapping radius relative to the total logarithmic mapping radius induced by each γ_k with $k = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore, we introduce the abbreviation

$$\Gamma_k(t,\tau) := \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq k}}^{m} \gamma_j\big((0,\tau]\big) \cup \gamma_k\big((0,t]\big),$$

with $t, \tau \in [0, T]$. We will denote the corresponding normalized mapping function by $f_{k;t,\tau}$, i.e.

$$f_{k;t,\tau} : \Omega_k(t,\tau) := \Omega \setminus \Gamma_k(t,\tau) \to D_k(t,\tau)$$

where $D_k(t,\tau)$ denotes a circularly slit disk.



Next, we define the following limit

$$\lambda_k(t_0) := \lim_{t\to t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t_0,t_0})}{t - t_0},$$

i.e. $\lambda_k(t_0)$ exists, if $t \mapsto \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0})$ is differentiable at t_0. The first theorem states the existence of the functions λ_k.

Theorem 1. *Each function $t \mapsto \lambda_k(t)$ (with $k = 1, \dots, m$) exists almost everywhere in $[0, T]$.*

The next theorem shows a connection between the existence of λ_k and differentiability of the trajectories $t \mapsto g_t(z)$. Therefore, we denote by $z \mapsto \Phi(\xi, z; t)$ for all

$t \geq 0$, $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $z \in D_t$ the unique conformal mapping that maps the circularly slit disk D_t onto the right half-plane minus slits parallel to the imaginary axis with $\Phi(\xi, \xi; t) = \infty$ and $\Phi(\xi, 0; t) = 1$. The existence and uniqueness of Φ is given by [5], Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2. *Denote by g_t the corresponding mapping function as mentioned before. Assume the values $\lambda_k(t_0)$ $(k = 1, \ldots, m)$ exist for some $t_0 \in [0, T]$, then the function $g_t(z)$ is differentiable (w.r.t. t) at t_0 for every $z \in \Omega_{t_0}$ and it holds*

$$\dot{g}_{t_0}(z) = g_{t_0}(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0) \cdot \Phi(\xi_k(t_0), g_{t_0}(z); t_0).$$

Furthermore, the functions $\lambda_k(t_0)$ fulfil the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0) = 1$ if the condition $g_t'(0) = c\,e^t$ holds in some neighbourhood of t_0 with an arbitrary constant $c > 0$.

The so called *driving terms* $\xi_k(t_0)$ stands for the images of the tips $\gamma_k(t_0)$ under the mapping g_{t_0}, i.e. $\xi_k(t_0) := g_{t_0}(\gamma_k(t_0))$. Later (see Proposition 8) we will also prove the continuity of the functions $t \mapsto \xi_k(t)$.
If $m = 1$, that means we consider the one slit case, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Denote by g_t the corresponding mapping function as mentioned before. Assume the slit is parametrized in such a way that $g_t'(0) = e^t$ holds. Then the function $g_t(z)$ is differentiable (w.r.t. t) for all $t \in [0, T]$ and every $z \in \Omega_t$ and it holds*

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = g_t(z)\, \Phi(\xi_k(t), g_t(z); t)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and every $z \in \Omega_t$.

This result is well known as the *radial one slit Komatu-Loewner equation*, see [1] or [8].
In the simply connected case we get

$$\Phi(\zeta, z; t) = \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z},$$

since $D_t \equiv \mathbb{D}$. If we take this into account, we get the following corollary in case of simply connected domains. Here we will also denote by g_t the normalized Riemann map, where $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$.

Corollary 4. *Denote by g_t the corresponding mapping function as mentioned before. Assume the values $\lambda_k(t_0)$ $(k = 1, \ldots, m)$ exist for some $t_0 \in [0, T]$, then the function $g_t(z)$ is differentiable (w.r.t. t) at t_0 for every $z \in \Omega_{t_0}$ and it holds*

$$\dot{g}_{t_0}(z) = g_{t_0}(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0) \cdot \frac{\xi_k(t_0) + g_{t_0}(z)}{\xi_k(t_0) - g_{t_0}(z)}.$$

Furthermore, the functions $\lambda_k(t_0)$ fulfil the condition $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(t_0) = 1$ if the condition $g_t'(0) = c\,e^t$ holds in some neighbourhood of t_0 with an arbitrary constant $c > 0$.

In the single slit case, this equation is known as the *slit radial Loewner ODE for inclusion chains.*
By combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we finally get the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *Denote by g_t the corresponding mapping function as in Theorem 2. Then there exists a zero set $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure such that the functions $t \mapsto g_t(z)$ are differentiable on $[0,T] \setminus \mathcal{N}$ for all $z \in \Omega_T$ and it holds*

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = g_t(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t) \cdot \Phi(\xi_k(t), g_t(z); t).$$

for all $t \in [0,T] \setminus \mathcal{N}$ and each $z \in \Omega_t$. Furthermore, the functions $\lambda_k(t_0)$ fulfil the condition $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(t_0) = 1$ if the condition $g_t'(0) = c\,e^t$ holds in some neighbourhood of t_0 with an arbitrary constant $c > 0$.

As a combination of our results with the results of Earle and Epstein from [6] we immediately get the following result for simply connected domains.

Corollary 6. *Let $n = 1$ and let the slits $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ be in $C^2([0,T])$ and regular. Denote by g_t the corresponding mapping function as mentioned before. Then the limits $\lambda_k(t)$ exist for all $t \in [0,T]$ and it holds for all $z \in \Omega_t$ and all $t \in [0,T]$*

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = g_t(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t) \cdot \frac{\xi_k(t) + g_t(z)}{\xi_k(t) - g_t(z)}.$$

Moreover the functions $t \mapsto \lambda_k(t)$ are continuous.

A proof of this corollary is given at the end of chapter 4.

As an application, see [3], S. Schleißinger and the first author used the methods presented in this paper to show that we can always parametrize the m slits in such a way, that the functions $t \mapsto \lambda_k(t)$ $(k = 1, \ldots, m)$ are constant in $[0,T]$, i.e. there are parametrizations of the slits $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ so that the Komatu-Loewner equation is fulfilled everywhere with constant coefficients $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$. Hereby Proposition 17 and Theorem 2 play a major role and we do not assume any regularity for the slits $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$. This generalizes a result of D. Prokhorov, see [10], who considered the simply connected case and piecewise analytic slits.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will prove some continuity properties (see Proposition 7 and Proposition 8) and a monotonicity

property (see Proposition 9) concerning the logarithmic mapping radius. A proof of Theorem 2 will be given in chapter 3. Chapter 4 consists primarily of the proof of Theorem 1 and the proof of a powerful proposition (see Proposition 16), which is used in a major way in the proof of the theorem which can be interpreted as the connection between Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. Moreover Proposition 16 is used in great effect to prove Corollary 6 and has a lot of applications in [3]. Finally, in chapter 5 we generalize Theorem 2 to arbitrary finitely connected domains where the curves $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ are attached to accessible boundary points.

Remark 1. One of the first persons who extended Loewner's differential equation to multiply connected domains was Komatu [7], where he discussed an annulus as the initial domain minus one slit that grows from the outer boundary into the interior. Later he derived a result for more general circular slit annuli, see [8]. Recently, Bauer and Friedrich continued Komatu's ideas and found analogous results for circular slit disks and parallel slit domains, see [1] and [2]. All these equations are based on one slit that grows from the outer boundary component into the interior, where the slit is parametrized in such a way that the normalization $g_t'(0) = e^t$ is fulfilled. In this work we continue the ideas of Bauer and Friedrich, whereby we have summarized these in Lemma 10. The proof of this Lemma follows exactly the same steps of [1], Theorem 5.1. The essential difficulty in extending the previously known results to multiple slits is, that in this case the "speed factors" $\lambda_k(t_0)$ with respect to the logarithmic capacity enter the picture.
A proof of the chordal single slit Komatu-Loewner equation mostly based on probabilistic arguments is given in [11]. Furthermore, the authors of [11] point out a problem with the proof of the right differentiability of g_t in [1] and [2]. We consider the left and right differentiability separately. Our argumentation in the right case is mainly based on the fact, that the image domain of D_t under the mapping $w \mapsto \Phi(\xi, w; t)$ is the right half plane minus slits parallel to the imaginary axis in combination with an extended version of kernel convergence due to Caratheodory, see Lemma 19.

Remark 2. An interesting question concerning Theorem 2 we do not deal with in this paper is the following. Denote by $\xi_k : [0, T] \to \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda_k : [0, T] \to [0, 1]$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$ continuous functions with $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \equiv 1$. Moreover let Ω be an arbitrary n-connected circular slit disk. Consider the implicit initial value problem:

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = g_t(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t) \cdot \Phi(\xi_k(t), g_t(z); t), \quad g_0 \equiv \mathrm{id} : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$$

Is there always a (unique) solution to this problem, i.e. a family of normalized conformal mappings $g_t : \Omega_t \to D_t$ for $t \in [0, T]$ whose driving and weight functions

equal the given ζ_k and λ_k, respectively? Which regularity conditions on the driving terms ξ_k and weight functions λ_k are necessary in order to generate slits?

2 Some basic tools

The first very useful property concerning the functions $f_{k;t,\tau}$ is given by the following proposition. In order to do that, we need an extension of the concept of compact convergence to dynamic domains due to [4], Chapter 15, Definition 4.2. Denote by $(\Omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of regions with kernel Ω. Furthermore, $0 \in \Omega_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $f_n : \Omega_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be locally uniformly convergent on the sequence $(\Omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to a function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all compact subsets K of Ω exists a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_n(z) - f(z)| < \epsilon$ for all $z \in K$ and all $n \geq n_0$.

Proposition 7. *Let $t_0, \tau_0 \in [0, T]$ be fixed and denote by $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ two sequences (in $[0, T]$) that converge to t_0 and τ_0, respectively. Then the sequence of functions $(f_{k,t_n,\tau_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to $f_{k;t_0,\tau_0}$ on $(\Omega_k(t_n, \tau_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. Note that $\Omega(t_n, \tau_n) \to \Omega(t, \tau)$ if $n \to \infty$, i.e. $\Omega(t_0, \tau_0)$ is the kernel of the sequence $(\Omega(t_n, \tau_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We will prove that the sequence $h_n := f_{t_n,\tau_n}$ is normal. Let $(h_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence of $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We show that we find a subsequence $(m_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that for every compact set $K \subset \Omega(t_0, \tau_0)$ and for every ϵ there is a $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $|h_{m_j}(z) - h_0(z)| < \epsilon$ holds for all $z \in K$ and all $j \geq j_0$.
Denote by $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of compact sets with $K_n \subset \Omega(t_n, \tau_n)$, $K_n \subset \mathring{K}_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = \Omega(t_0, \tau_0)$. By Montel's theorem we find a subsequence $(m_{j,1})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $h_{m_{j,1}}$ converges uniformly to an analytic function h_0 on K_1. Again we find by Montel's theorem a subsequence $(m_{j,2})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(m_{j,1})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ that converges uniformly to h_0 on K_2. This can be done for every compact set K_n, so h_0 is an analytic function on $\Omega(t_0, \tau_0)$. Thus we define $m_j := m_{j,j}$, so this subsequence fulfils the condition as stated before.
Next we will show $h_0 \equiv f_{t_0,\tau_0}$. By using the extremal property of the functions h_n, we can see $h_n'(0) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. More details concerning the extremal property of the functions h_n can be found in the proof of Proposition 9. Thus the function h_0 is nonconstant and injective by Hurwitz's theorem. By using an extended version of Caratheodory's kernel theorem, we get $D(t_{m_j}, \tau_{m_j}) \to \tilde{D}$, where the limit is in the sense of kernel convergence. Further details can be found in [4], Chapter 15.4. Since $\tilde{D}$ is n-connected and represents the kernel of the sequence $\left(D(t_{m_j}, \tau_{m_j}\right)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, which includes zero, $\tilde{D}$ is necessarily a circularly slit disk. See [4], Chapter 15, Lemma 7.6. Summarizing, h_0 is a function from $\Omega(t_0, \tau_0)$ onto a circularly slit disk

with $h_0(0) = 0$ and $h_0'(0) > 0$. By using the uniqueness of the extended Riemann mapping theorem we get $h_0 \equiv f_{t_0,\tau_0}$.
As all subsequences $(h_{m_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge to the same function h_0 also the whole sequence $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to h_0 on $(\Omega(t_n,\tau_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. □

For later use we define $\xi_k(t,\tau) := f_{k;t,\tau}\big(\gamma_k(t)\big) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\xi_k(t) := \xi_k(t,t)$ as the image of the tip of $\gamma_k([0,t])$ under $f_{k;t,\tau}$, where $\tau, t \in [0,T]$. Furthermore, we introduce the abbreviations:

$$S_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} := f_{k;\underline{t},\tau}\Big(\gamma_k\big([\underline{t},\overline{t}]\big)\Big) \subset \mathbb{D}\cup\{\xi_k(\underline{t},\tau)\}, \quad s_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} := f_{k;\overline{t},\tau}\Big(\gamma_k\big([\underline{t},\overline{t}]\big)\Big) \subset \partial\mathbb{D},$$

where $0 \le \underline{t} \le \overline{t} \le T$ and $\tau, \tau_0 \in [0,T]$. We note that all the images are with respect to prime ends. An important fact is given by the following proposition, which describes the movement of the functions $\xi_k(t,\tau)$ for fixed $k = 1,\dots,m$. Moreover we will state an arc convergence of $s_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau}$ and a slit convergence of $S_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau}$ in this proposition.

Proposition 8. *Let $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ be fixed. With the notations from above, the function $(t,\tau) \mapsto \xi_k(t,\tau)$ is continuous in $[0,T]\times[0,T]$. Moreover*

$$S_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} \to \xi_k(\overline{t},\tau_0) \text{ as } (\underline{t},\tau) \to (\overline{t},\tau_0) \text{ (where } \underline{t} \nearrow \overline{t})$$
$$s_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} \to \xi_k(\underline{t},\tau_0) \text{ as } (\overline{t},\tau) \to (\underline{t},\tau_0) \text{ (where } \overline{t} \searrow \underline{t}).$$

Proof. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. We will only show $S_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} \to \xi(\overline{t},\tau_0)$ as $(\underline{t},\tau) \to (\overline{t},\tau_0)$ (where $\underline{t} \nearrow \overline{t}$). The other case $s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau} \to \xi(\underline{t},\tau_0)$ as $(\overline{t},\tau) \to (\underline{t},\tau_0)$ (where $\overline{t} \searrow \underline{t}$) can be proven in the same way. Since $\xi(\underline{t},\tau) \in S_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau}$ and $\xi(\overline{t},\tau) \in s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\tau}$, the continuity of ξ follows immediately.



As described before, we will show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ with $S_{\underline{t},\bar{t},\tau} \subset B_\epsilon(\xi(\bar{t},\tau_0))$ for all $\underline{t} \in [\bar{t}-\delta,\bar{t}]$ and $\tau \in [\tau_0-\delta,\tau_0+\delta]$.
Since the function $f_{\bar{t},\tau_0}$ does not depend on $\underline{t}$ we can can find for every $\epsilon > 0$ a $\delta_1 > 0$ with $s_{\underline{t},\bar{t},\tau_0} \subset B_\epsilon(\xi(\bar{t},\tau_0))$ for all $\underline{t} \in [\bar{t}-\delta_1,\bar{t}]$. Moreover, the function $f_{\underline{t},\tau} \circ f_{\bar{t},\tau_0}^{-1}$ converges by Proposition 7 locally uniformly to the identity if $(\underline{t},\tau)$ tends to $(\bar{t},\tau_0)$. By using the Schwarz reflection principle, we can see that these functions can be extended analytically to $\overline{B_\epsilon(\xi(\bar{t},\tau_0))} \setminus s_{\underline{t},\bar{t},\tau_0}$, if ϵ and $|\bar{t}-\underline{t}|$ are small enough. Considering the uniform convergence on ∂B_ϵ, we can find a $\delta \in (0,\delta_1)$ with $S_{\underline{t},\bar{t},\tau} \subset B_\epsilon(\xi(\bar{t},\tau_0))$ for all $\tau \in [\tau_0-\delta,\tau_0+\delta]$ and all $\underline{t} \in [\bar{t}-\delta,\bar{t}]$. □

Another useful property concerning the logarithmic mapping radius of the mapping $f_{k;t,\tau}$ is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 9. *The function $(t,\tau) \mapsto f'_{k;t,\tau}(0)$ is strictly increasing in each variable for fixed $k = 1,\dots,m$.*

Proof. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. First of all we will discuss a very important extremal property concerning the function $f_{t,\tau}$. We denote

$$\mathcal{F}(t,\tau) := \{f : \Omega(t,\tau) \to \mathbb{D} \,|\, f \text{ univalent, } f(0) = 0,\ f'(0) > 0\}.$$

Then $f_{t,\tau}$ fulfills the extremal property as follows:

$$f'_{t,\tau}(0) = \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f'(0).$$

Further details can be found in [9], Chapter VII, Section 2.

Let $0 \le \underline{t} < \bar{t} \le T$ and $\tau_0 \in [0,T]$. Denote the outer boundary component of the domain $f_{\underline{t},\tau_0}(\Omega(\bar{t},\tau_0))$ by A. As $\mathrm{int}(A) \subsetneq \mathbb{D}$, there is a unique conformal mapping $h : \mathrm{int}(A) \to \mathbb{D}$ with $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) > 0$. Since h^{-1} fulfills the condition of the Schwarz Lemma, we necessarily get $h'(0) > 1$. Thus we have $h \circ f_{\underline{t},\tau_0} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{t},\tau_0)$. This implies

$$h'(0) \cdot f'_{\underline{t},\tau_0}(0) = (h \circ f_{\underline{t},\tau_0})'(0) \le f'_{\bar{t},\tau_0}(0),$$

by using the extremal property. Finally, we have $f'_{\underline{t},\tau_0}(0) < f'_{\bar{t},\tau_0}(0)$.
The monotonicity in the second variable τ can be proven in the same way. □

As an immediate consequence (by setting $\tau = t$) we get the strict monotonicity of the function $t \mapsto g'_t(0)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

By adapting the methods in [1] to multiple slits, we get easily

Lemma 10. *Denote by g_t the mapping function as mentioned before. Furthermore, let $0 \leq \underline{t} < \overline{t} \leq T$ and $g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}} := g_{\underline{t}} \circ g_{\overline{t}}^{-1}$. Thus we have*

$$\log \frac{g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\beta_k(\overline{t},\underline{t})} \ln \left| g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(\zeta) \right| \cdot \Phi(\zeta, z; \overline{t}) |\mathrm{d}\zeta|,$$

where $z \mapsto \Phi(\zeta, z; \overline{t})$ denotes the unique conformal mapping that maps $D(\overline{t})$ onto the right half plane minus $n-1$ slits parallel to the imaginary axis with the normalization $0 \mapsto 1$ and $\zeta \mapsto \infty$. The set $\beta_k(\overline{t}, \underline{t})$ stands for image of the prime ends of $\gamma_k([\underline{t}, \overline{t}])$ under the mapping $g_{\overline{t}}$.

Proof. This proof is analogous to the one of Bauer and Friedrich (see [1], Theorem 5.1), so most of the details can be found there. First of all we consider the function

$$z \mapsto \log \frac{g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z}$$

which is analytic in $D(\overline{t})$. We denote the boundary components of $D(\overline{t})$ by $C_1(\overline{t}), \ldots, C_n(\overline{t})$, where $C_n(\overline{t}) = \partial\mathbb{D}$.



Consequently $\ln |g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(z)/z|$ is harmonic in $D(\overline{t})$ and by Poisson's formula we get

$$\ln \left| \frac{g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} \right| = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D(\overline{t})} \ln \left| \frac{g_{\overline{t},\underline{t}}(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| \frac{\partial G(\zeta, z, \overline{t})}{\partial n_\zeta} |\mathrm{d}\zeta|,$$

where $G(\zeta, z; \overline{t})$ denotes the Green function of $D(\overline{t})$ with pole at z. The left hand side of the previous formula will be denoted as $u(z)$. Since u is harmonic in $D(\overline{t})$ and the real part of an analytic function, the periods with respect to $C_j(\overline{t})$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n-1)$ are zero. Thus we get for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$

$$0 = \int_{C_j(\overline{t})} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_\zeta}(\zeta) |\mathrm{d}\zeta| = \int_{C_j(\overline{t})} \omega_j(\zeta, \overline{t}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_\zeta}(\zeta) |\mathrm{d}\zeta| = \int_{C_j(\overline{t})} u(\zeta) \frac{\partial \omega_j(\zeta, \overline{t})}{\partial n_\zeta} |\mathrm{d}\zeta|,$$

where $\omega_j(\zeta, \bar{t})$ denotes the harmonic measure with $\omega_j(\zeta, \bar{t}) = \delta_{j,k}$ for $\zeta \in C_k(\bar{t})$. Note that the last equation is an application of Green's theorem. By combining these two equations we find

$$\ln \left| \frac{g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} \right| = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D(\bar{t})} u(\zeta) \left(\frac{\partial G(\zeta, z; \bar{t})}{\partial n_\zeta} + \vec{\omega}(z, \bar{t})^T P_{\bar{t}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \vec{\omega}(\zeta, \bar{t})}{\partial n_\zeta} \right) |\mathrm{d}\zeta|,$$

where $\vec{\omega} := (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-1})^T$ denotes the harmonic measure vector. The matrix $P_{\bar{t}}$ contains the periods of the harmonic measures w_j with respect to $C_k(\bar{t})$. This matrix is symmetric and positive definite, so the inverse matrix exists, see [9], page 39. Furthermore, the function

$$z \mapsto -\frac{\partial G(\zeta, z; \bar{t})}{\partial n_\zeta} - \vec{\omega}(z, \bar{t})^T P_{\bar{t}}^{-1} \frac{\partial \vec{\omega}(\zeta, \bar{t})}{\partial n_\zeta}$$

has a single valued harmonic conjugate. We denote the corresponding analytic function by $\Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t})$, where $\Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t})$ is determined up to an arbitrary imaginary constant. Furthermore, $z \mapsto \Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t})$ is an univalent conformal mapping that maps $D(\bar{t})$ onto the right half plane minus $n-1$ slits parallel to the imaginary axis with $\zeta \mapsto \infty$. Details can be found in [5], page 257, or [1], equation (8). Consequently we get

$$\log \left(\frac{g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_t} \ln \left| \frac{g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right| \Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t}) |\mathrm{d}\zeta| + \mathrm{i}c,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\ln |g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(\zeta)/\zeta|$ is constant on each $C_j(\bar{t})$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n-1)$, $C_n(\bar{t}) = \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta \mapsto G(\zeta, z; \bar{t}) + \vec{\omega}(z, \bar{t}) P_{\bar{t}}^{-1} \omega(\zeta, \bar{t})$ has vanishing periods we get

$$\log \left(\frac{g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\beta_k(\bar{t},\underline{t})} \ln \left| g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(\zeta) \right| \Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t}) |\mathrm{d}\zeta| + \mathrm{i}c,$$

where $\beta_k(\bar{t}, \underline{t})$ denotes the image of the prime ends of $\gamma_k([\underline{t}, \bar{t}])$ under the mapping $g_{\bar{t}}$. Finally, we will show $c = 0$. For, we have

$$0 > \ln(g'_{\underline{t}}(0)) - \ln(g'_{\bar{t}}(0)) = \log \left(\left. \frac{g_{\bar{t},\underline{t}}(z)}{z} \right|_{z=0} \right)$$

by normalization of the Riemann mapping function. Furthermore, we can choose $\Phi(\zeta, z; \bar{t})$ in a way that $\Phi(\zeta, 0; \bar{t}) = 1$ holds. If we put both arguments together, we get $c = 0$. □

Proof for $t \searrow t_0$. By using Lemma 10 we get

$$\log \frac{g_{t,t_0}(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\beta_k(t,t_0)} \ln |g_{t,t_0}(\zeta)| \cdot \Phi(\zeta, z; t) |\mathrm{d}\zeta|.$$

Since the functions $\zeta \mapsto \ln|g_{t,t_0}(\zeta)|$ and $\zeta \mapsto \Phi(\zeta, z; t)$ are continuous by Lemma 19 in $\beta_k(t, t_0)$ and $\ln|g_{t,t_0}(\zeta)| \leq 0$, we deduce

$$\log \frac{g_{t,t_0}(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Big(\Re\big(\Phi(\zeta_{t,t_0}^{k,1}, z; t)\big) + \mathrm{i}\, \Im\big(\Phi(\zeta_{t,t_0}^{k,2}, z; t)\big) \Big) \int_{\beta_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{t,t_0}(\zeta)|\, |\mathrm{d}\zeta|,$$

by using the mean value theorem with $\zeta_{t,t_0}^{k,j} \in \beta_k(t, t_0)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Denote the remaining integral by $c_k(t, t_0)$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Thus we get by substitution

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(t, t_0) &= \int_{\beta_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{t,t_0}(\zeta)|\, |\mathrm{d}\zeta| \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{t,t_0}(h_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta))| \cdot |h'_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)|\, |\mathrm{d}\zeta| \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)| \cdot |h'_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)|\, |\mathrm{d}\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

with $g_{k;t,t_0} := g_{t_0} \circ f_{k;t,t_0}^{-1}$, $h_{k;t,t_0} := g_t \circ f_{k;t,t_0}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\beta}_k(t, t_0) := h_{k;t,t_0}^{-1}\big(\beta_k(t, t_0)\big)$. We note that $h_{k;t,t_0}$ is analytic in $\tilde{\beta}_k(t, t_0)$ by the Schwarz reflection principle, so the substitution holds.



Since the image of the function $g_{k;t,t_0}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{D}$ we have $\ln|g_{k,t,t_0}(\zeta)| \leq 0$ for every $\zeta \in \tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)$. Furthermore, the functions $\zeta \mapsto h'_{k,t,t_0}(\zeta)$ and $\zeta \mapsto \ln|g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)|$ are continuous in $\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)$, so we get

$$c_k(t,t_0) = |h'_{k;t,t_0}(\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0})| \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)|\,|\mathrm{d}\zeta|$$

as an application of the mean-value theorem with $\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0} \in \tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)$. Furthermore we have $\lim_{t\searrow t_0} h'_{k;t,t_0}(\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0}) = 1$. For by proposition 7 the functions $h^{-1}_{k;t,t_0}$ tend to the identity locally uniformly on D_t for $t \searrow t_0$ and this compact convergence can be extended to a disk $B_\epsilon(\xi_k(t_0))$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ with

$$|t - t_0| < \delta \Rightarrow B_\epsilon(\xi_k(t_0)) \cap \partial D_t \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$$

(cf. [4], Lemma 7.6) for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ by the Schwarz reflection principle. By use of Cauchy's theorem and the normalization of $g_{k;t,t_0}$ we see for the real value

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) &= \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\, g_{k;t,t_0}(z)\Big|_{z=0}\right) = \log\left(\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(z)}{z}\right)\Big|_{z=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\partial D_k(t,t_0)} \log\left(\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta}{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_k(t,t_0)} \log\left(\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right) \mathrm{d}\arg\zeta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_k(t,t_0)} \ln\left|\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right| \mathrm{d}\arg\zeta.
\end{aligned}$$

The boundary $\partial D_k(t,t_0)$ consists of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and concentric slits. We note, that the function $\ln\left|\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right|$ is constant on these concentric slits, so we obtain

$$\operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)} \ln\left|\frac{g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)}{\zeta}\right| |\mathrm{d}\zeta| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0)} \ln|g_{k;t,t_0}(\zeta)|\,|\mathrm{d}\zeta|. \qquad (\star)$$

as we integrate on both sides of each concentric slit and $\tilde{\beta}_k(t,t_0) \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$. Since $g_{k;t_0,t_0} \equiv \mathrm{id}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_k(t,t_0) &= 2\pi \operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) \cdot |h'_{k;t,t_0}(\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0})| \\
&= 2\pi\big(\operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t_0,t_0})\big)|h'_{k;t,t_0}(\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0})|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will use two useful properties concerning the logarithmic mapping radius. These are

$$\operatorname{lmr}(f \circ g) = \operatorname{lmr}(f) + \operatorname{lmr}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{lmr}(f^{-1}) = -\operatorname{lmr}(f),$$

with (in $z = 0$) analytic and locally injective functions g and f. Thus we get

$$\operatorname{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) = \operatorname{lmr}(f^{-1}_{k;t,t_0}) + \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0}) = -\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) + \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0})$$

Since $\operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0})$ does not depend on t it follows

$$\lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{c_k(t,t_0)}{t-t_0} = -2\pi \lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t_0,t_0})}{t-t_0} = -2\pi\lambda_k(t_0),$$

as $|h'_{k;t,t_0}(\tilde{\zeta}_{t,t_0})|$ tends to 1 for $t \searrow t_0$. Summarizing we get

$$\lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{\log \frac{g_{t_0}(w)}{g_t(w)}}{t-t_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{t\searrow t_0} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Big[\Re\big(\Phi(\zeta^{k,1}_{t,t_0}, g_t(w); t)\big) + \mathrm{i}\Im\big(\Phi(\zeta^{k,2}_{t,t_0}, g_t(w); t)\big)\Big] \frac{c_k(t,t_0)}{t-t_0}.$$

By using Lemma 19 we can see that the functions $w \mapsto \Phi(\zeta^{k,j}_{t,t_0}, g_t(w), t)$ converge locally uniformly to $\Phi(\xi_k(t_0), g_{t_0}(w), t_0)$ if $t \searrow t_0$. Thus we get

$$\lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{\log g_t(w) - \log g_{t_0}(w)}{t-t_0} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0)\Phi(\xi_k(t_0), g_{t_0}(w), t_0).$$

Finally, by using the same calculation as in $(\star)$ we see

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{c_k(t,t_0)}{t-t_0} = -\lim_{t\searrow t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(g_{t,t_0})}{t-t_0} = 1,$$

where the last equation follows from the condition $g'_t(0) = e^t$ in some neighbourhood of t_0 as assumed in the theorem. □

Before we can prove the other case we need a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let* $\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)$ *be analytic on a compact set* $A(r_0,\theta_1,\theta_2) = \{z = re^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, r \in [r_0,1],\ \theta \in [\theta_1,\theta_2]\}$, *where* $0 < r_0 < 1$ *and* $|\theta_2 - \theta_1| < 2\pi$. *Furthermore,* $|f(z)| = 1$ *for all* $z \in \partial\mathbb{D} \cap A(r_0,\theta_1,\theta_2)$ *and for some* $\delta > 0$ *the inequality*

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)\right| < \delta$$

holds for all $z \in A(r_0,\theta_1,\theta_2)$. *Then the inequality*

$$|z|^{1+\delta} \le |f(z)| \le |z|^{1-\delta}$$

is true for all $z \in A(r_0,\theta_1,\theta_2)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_\theta(r) := r \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$ with $r \in [r_0, 1]$ and $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$. Denote by

$$h_\theta(r) := \Re\left(\log\left(\frac{f(\gamma_\theta(r))}{\gamma_\theta(r)}\right)\right) = \ln\left|\frac{f(\gamma_\theta(r))}{\gamma_\theta(r)}\right|.$$

Consequently the function h_θ is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty((r_0, 1), \mathbb{R})$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r} h_\theta(r)\right| &= \left|\Re\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)\Big|_{z=\gamma_\theta(r)} \cdot \dot{\gamma}_\theta(r)\right)\right| \\ &= \left|\Re\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)\Big|_{z=\gamma_\theta(r)} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}\right)\right| \\ &\leq \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)\Big|_{z=\gamma_\theta(r)}\right| \leq \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $h_\theta(1) = 0$, $\pm\delta\ln(1) = 0$ and $\delta\frac{1}{r} \geq \delta$ (for all $r \in [r_0, 1]$), so we get

$$\ln(r^\delta) = \delta\ln(r) \leq h_\theta(r) \leq -\delta\ln(r) = \ln(r^{-\delta}),$$

for all $r \in [r_0, 1]$ and $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$. Since to every $z \in A(r_0, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ corresponds a $r \in [r_0, 1]$ and a $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ with $\gamma_\theta(r) = z$, we get for given $z \in A(r_0, \theta_1, \theta_2)$

$$\ln(|z|^\delta) \leq \ln\left|\frac{f(z)}{z}\right| \leq \ln(|z|^{-\delta}).$$

Finally, we get the asserted equation by applying the exponential function. □

According to this lemma we define $A_\epsilon(\zeta) := A(1-\epsilon, \theta - \pi\epsilon, \theta + \pi\epsilon)$, where $\zeta = e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Lemma 12. *Let be $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence (in $[0, T]$) converging to τ_0 and $(\underline{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\overline{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to t_0, where $0 \leq \underline{t}_n \leq \overline{t}_n \leq T$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can find for every $\epsilon > 0$ a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$f_{k;\underline{t}_n,\tau_n}\Big(\gamma_k\big([\underline{t}_n, \overline{t}_n]\big)\Big) \subset A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0, \tau_0)\big)$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. This lemma follows immediately from Proposition 8, as the diameter of the set $f_{k;\underline{t}_n,\tau_n}\big(\gamma_k([\underline{t}_n, \overline{t}_n])\big)$ tends to zero and $\xi_k(t_n, \tau_n)$ tends to $\xi_k(t_0, \tau_0)$ if $n \to \infty$ and $\xi_k(\underline{t}_n, \tau_n) \in f_{k;\underline{t}_n,\tau_n}\big(\gamma_k([\underline{t}_n, \overline{t}_n])\big)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2 in the case $t \nearrow t_0$. Analog to the other case we start with

$$\log \frac{g_{t_0,t(z)}}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Big(\Re\big(\Phi(\zeta_{t_0,t}^{k,1}, z; t_0)\big) + \mathrm{i}\Im\big(\Phi(\zeta_{t_0,t}^{k,2}, z; t_0)\big) \Big) \int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|g_{t_0,t}(\zeta)|\,|\mathrm{d}\zeta|$$

where $\zeta_{t_0,t}^{k,j} \in \beta_k(t_0,t)$ with $j = 1, 2$. We denote the integral by c_k, so we get

$$c_k(t_0,t) = \int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|g_{t_0,t}(\zeta)|\,|\mathrm{d}\zeta| = \int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|h_{k;t_0,t}^{-1}(g_{k;t_0,t}(\zeta))|\,|\mathrm{d}\zeta|.$$

with the abbreviations $g_{k;t_0,t} := f_{k;t,t_0} \circ g_{t_0}^{-1}$ and $h_{k;t_0,t} := f_{k;t,t_0} \circ g_t^{-1}$.



We will now discuss the map $h_{k;t_0,t}^{-1}$. First of all, we notice that (by Lemma 12) for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\rho_1(\epsilon) > 0$ such that the images of the set $\gamma\big([t,t_0]\big)$ under the functions $f_{k;t,t_0}$ lie in $A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0)\big)$ for all $t \in [t_0 - \rho_1, t_0]$. If $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough, the functions $h_{k;t_0,t}^{-1}$ are analytic in $A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0)\big)$ by reflection.
Consequently, the functions $\log\big(h_{k;t_0,t}^{-1}(z)/z\big)$ are analytic in $A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0)\big)$. Furthermore, this sequence of functions tends (by Proposition 7) locally uniformly on $D_k(t,t_0)$ for $t \nearrow t_0$ to the function identically zero as t tends to t_0, so the first derivative tends (uniformly on compact sets) to zero too. Thus we find for every $\delta > 0$ a $\rho > 0$ with

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \log\left(\frac{h_{k;t_0,t}^{-1}(z)}{z} \right) \right| < \delta$$

for all $z \in A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0)\big)$ and $t \in [t_0-\rho, t_0]$, where $\rho < \rho_1$. Hence we can use Lemma 11 to get

$$|z|^{1+\delta} \le |h^{-1}_{k,t_0,t}(z)| \le |z|^{1-\delta}$$

for all $z \in A_\epsilon\big(\xi_k(t_0)\big)$ and $t \in [t_0-\rho, t_0]$. By using this estimate we get

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\delta_{t_0,t}) &\int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|g_{k;t_0,t}(\zeta)||\mathrm{d}\zeta| \\ &\le \int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|h^{-1}_{k;t_0,t}(g_{k;t_0,t}(\zeta)||\mathrm{d}\zeta| \le (1-\delta_{t_0,t}) \int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|g_{k;t_0,t}(\zeta)||\mathrm{d}\zeta|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{t_0,t}$ is chosen in such a way, that the previous estimation holds and $\delta_{t_0,t} \to 0$ as $t \nearrow t_0$. Furthermore, we find

$$\int_{\beta_k(t_0,t)} \ln|g_{k;t_0,t}(\zeta)||\mathrm{d}\zeta| = 2\pi\big(\mathrm{lmr}(g_{k;t_0,t}) - \mathrm{lmr}(g_{k;t_0,t_0})\big)$$

in the same way as in equation $(\star)$. Thus we get

$$\lim_{t\nearrow t_0} \frac{c_k(t_0,t)}{t-t_0} = 2\pi \lim_{t\nearrow t_0} \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_0,t_0})}{t-t_0} = 2\pi\lambda_k(t_0).$$

by using $\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) = \mathrm{lmr}(g_{k;t,t_0}) + \mathrm{lmr}(g_{t_0})$ combined with the previous inequality. Summarizing we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\nearrow t_0} \frac{\log \frac{g_t(w)}{g_{t_0}(w)}}{t-t_0} \\ &\quad= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lim_{t\nearrow t_0} \Big[\Re\big(\Phi(\zeta^{k,1}_{t_0,t}, g_{t_0}(w); t_0)\big) + \mathrm{i}\Im\big(\Phi(\zeta^{k,2}_{t_0,t}, g_{t_0}(w); t_0)\big)\Big] \cdot \lim_{t\nearrow t_0} \frac{c_k(t,t_0)}{t-t_0} \\ &\quad= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(t_0) \cdot \Phi(\xi_k(t_0), g_{t_0}(w); t_0),\end{aligned}$$

so the differential equation is proved. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1

First of all we will introduce the abbreviations

$$T_{k;t,\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}} := f_{k;t,\overline{\tau}} \circ f^{-1}_{k;t,\underline{\tau}}, \quad u_{k;\underline{t},\bar{t},\tau} := f_{k;\underline{t},\tau} \circ f^{-1}_{k;\bar{t},\tau}.$$

for all $0 \le \underline{\tau} \le \overline{\tau} < T$ and $0 \le t \le T$. Before we can prove Theorem 1 we need some lemmas.

Lemma 13. *For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $0 \leq \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 \leq \overline{t} - \underline{t}, \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$ and all $z \in s_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}$ holds*

$$\left|T'_{k;\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z) - 1\right| < \epsilon.$$



Proof. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. We assume the opposite: Assume there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that for all $\delta > 0$ exists $0 \leq \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 \leq \overline{t} - \underline{t}, \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$ and $z \in s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}$ so that

$$\left|T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z) - 1\right| \geq \epsilon$$

holds. Let $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that converges to zero, and denote by $(\underline{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\overline{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\underline{\tau}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\overline{\tau}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $z_n \in s_{\underline{t}_n,\overline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n}$ sequences that fulfil the condition described before. Without restricting generality we can assume (by boundedness) that all these sequences are convergent, i.e.

$$\underline{t}_n \to t_0 \leftarrow \overline{t}_n, \quad \underline{\tau}_n \to \tau_0 \leftarrow \overline{\tau}_n, \quad z_n \to z_0 = \xi(t_0, \tau_0),$$

where the last equation follows from Proposition 8. Thus we find for every $\rho > 0$ a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $z_n \in B_\rho(z_0)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. If ρ is small enough we find a $n_0^* \geq n_0$ so that the functions $T_{\overline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ are analytic in $B_\rho(z_0)$ by reflection. Consequently by using Proposition 7 the sequence of functions $T_{\overline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ converges uniformly on $B_\rho(z_0)$ to the identity mapping. Thus $T'_{\overline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ converges uniformly on $B_\rho(z_0)$ to 1. This is a contradiction, so the proof is complete. □

Lemma 14. *For all $\epsilon > 0$ exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $0 \leq \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 \leq \overline{t} - \underline{t}, \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$ exists a $\rho > 0$ with $S_{k;\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}} \subset A_\rho(\xi_k(\underline{t},\overline{\tau}))$ so that for all $z \in A_\rho(\xi_k(\underline{t},\overline{\tau}))$ holds*

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\log\left(\frac{T^{-1}_{k;\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)}{z}\right)\right| < \epsilon.$$

If we combine this lemma with Lemma 11 we can easily derive the following lemma.

Lemma 15. *For all $\epsilon > 0$ exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $0 \leq \underline{t}, \bar{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 \leq \bar{t} - \underline{t}, \underline{\tau} - \overline{\tau} < \delta$ and $z \in S_{k;\underline{t},\bar{t},\overline{\tau}}$ holds*

$$|z|^{1+\epsilon} \leq |T^{-1}_{k;\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)| \leq |z|^{1-\epsilon}$$

Proof of Lemma 14. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. We assume the opposite: Assume there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that for all $\delta > 0$ exists $0 \leq \underline{t}, \bar{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 \leq \bar{t} - \underline{t}, \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$ so that for all $\rho > 0$ with $S_{\underline{t},\bar{t},\overline{\tau}} \subset A_\rho(\xi(\underline{t}, \overline{\tau}))$ exists a $z \in A_\rho(\xi(\underline{t}, \overline{\tau}))$ so that

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \log \left(\frac{T^{-1}_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)}{z} \right) \right| \geq \epsilon$$

holds. Let $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that converges to zero, and denote by $(\underline{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\bar{t}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(\underline{\tau}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\overline{\tau}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ sequences as described before. Without restricting generality we can assume that all these sequences are convergent, i.e.

$$\underline{t}_n \to t_0 \leftarrow \bar{t}_n, \quad \underline{\tau}_n \to \tau_0 \leftarrow \overline{\tau}_n.$$

As n tends to infinity, $\xi(\underline{t}_n, \overline{\tau}_n)$ tends to $\xi(t_0, \tau_0)$ and the diameter of the set $S_{\underline{t}_n,\bar{t}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ tends to zero. Thus we can find a sequence $(\rho_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ that fulfils the condition $S_{\underline{t}_n,\bar{t}_n,\overline{\tau}_n} \subset A_{\rho_n}(\xi(\underline{t}_n, \overline{\tau}_n))$ and tends to zero. Consequently we find for every given $\psi > 0$ a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A_{\rho_n}(\xi(\underline{t}_n, \overline{\tau}_n)) \subset A_\psi(\xi(t_0, \tau_0))$ for every $n \geq n_0$. If ψ is small enough, we find a $n_0^* \geq n_0$ so that the functions $T^{-1}_{\underline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ are analytic in $A_\psi(\xi(t_0, \tau_0))$ by reflection for all $n \geq n_0^*$. Consequently the sequence of functions $T^{-1}_{\underline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}$ converges uniformly on $A_\psi(\xi(t_0, \tau_0))$ to the identity mapping. Thus the sequence of functions

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \log \left(\frac{(T^{-1}_{\underline{t}_n,\underline{\tau}_n,\overline{\tau}_n}(z)}{z} \right) \right|$$

converges there uniformly to zero. This is a contradiction, so the proof is complete. □

Proposition 16. *For all $\epsilon > 0$ exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for all $0 \leq \underline{t}, \bar{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \leq T$ with $0 < \bar{t} - \underline{t} < \delta$ and $0 \leq \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$ holds*

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{lmr(f_{k;\underline{t},\underline{\tau}}) - lmr(f_{k;\bar{t},\underline{\tau}})}{lmr(f_{k;\underline{t},\overline{\tau}}) - lmr(f_{k;\bar{t},\overline{\tau}})} < 1 + \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. As $0 \le \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \le T$ with $0 < \overline{t} - \underline{t}$ and $0 \le \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau}$ we get by using Cauchy's formula and the mean value theorem of integration

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau}})}{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\overline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\overline{\tau}})} &= \frac{\ln\left(u'_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}(0)\right)}{\ln\left(u'_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(0)\right)} = \frac{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln|u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}(z)|\,|\mathrm{d}z|}{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}} \ln|u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(z)|\,|\mathrm{d}z|} \\
&= \frac{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln\left|T^{-1}_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}\left(u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(T_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z))\right)\right|\,|\mathrm{d}z|}{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}} \ln|u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(z)|\,|\mathrm{d}z|} = \frac{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln\left|T^{-1}_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}\left(u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(T_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z))\right)\right|\,|\mathrm{d}z|}{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln\left|u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}\left(T_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)\right)\right| \cdot |T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)|\,|\mathrm{d}z|} \\
&= \frac{\int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln\left|T^{-1}_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}\left(u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}(T_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z))\right)\right|\,|\mathrm{d}z|}{|T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z^*)| \int_{s_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\underline{\tau}}} \ln\left|u_{\underline{t},\overline{t},\overline{\tau}}\left(T_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z)\right)\right|\,|\mathrm{d}z|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we find by Lemma 15 for any given $\epsilon > 0$ a $\delta > 0$ with

$$\frac{1-\epsilon}{|T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z^*)|} < \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau}})}{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\overline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\overline{\tau}})} < \frac{1+\epsilon}{|T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z^*)|}$$

for all $0 \le \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \le T$ with $0 < \overline{t} - \underline{t} < \delta$ and $0 \le \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta$. Finally, we find by Lemma 13 a $\delta^* < \delta$ with $1 - \epsilon < |T'_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau},\overline{\tau}}(z^*)| < 1 + \epsilon$ thus we have

$$\frac{1-\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} < \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\underline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\underline{\tau}})}{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{\underline{t},\overline{\tau}}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{\overline{t},\overline{\tau}})} < \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$$

for all $0 \le \underline{t}, \overline{t}, \underline{\tau}, \overline{\tau} \le T$ with $0 < \overline{t} - \underline{t} < \delta^*$ and $0 \le \overline{\tau} - \underline{\tau} < \delta^*$, so the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1. 1) Since there is no risk of confusion, we omit the index k. First of all we consider the term

$$S(f, [\underline{t}, \overline{t}], Z) := \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \left[\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})\right],$$

where $Z = \{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_s\}$ with $t_0 = \underline{t}$ and $t_s = \overline{t}$ denotes a partition of the interval $[\underline{t}, \overline{t}]$ with $0 \le \underline{t} < \overline{t} \le T$. We denote by $|Z|$ the norm of the partition Z, i.e.

$$|Z| = \max_{j=1,\ldots,s} |t_j - t_{j-1}|.$$

Furthermore we set $S(f, t, Z) := S(f, [0, t], Z)$.

2) We will show now, that $S(f, t, Z)$ converges to a value $c(t) \ge 0$ if $|Z| \to 0$. Therefore, we consider two partitions $Z_1 = \{t^*_0, \ldots, t^*_{s_1}\}$ and Z_2 of the interval $[0, t]$

with $|Z_1|, |Z_2| < \delta$ where $\delta > 0$. Denote by $Z = \{t_0, \dots, t_s\}$ the union of Z_1 and Z_2. By adding zeros we achieve

$$|S(f,t,Z) - S(f,t,Z_1)| \leq \\ \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} |[\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})] - [\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},\phi(t_l)}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,\phi(t_l)})]|,$$

where $\phi(t_l) := t_j^*$ if $t_l \in [t_j^*, t_{j+1}^*)$ with $l = 0, \dots s-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, s_1 - 1$. Consequently $|\phi(t_l) - t_l| \leq \delta$. Thus we get

$$|S(f,t,Z) - S(f,t,Z_1)| \leq \\ \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} |\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})| \cdot \left| 1 - \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},\phi(t_l)}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,\phi(t_l)})}{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})} \right|.$$

For any given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ (by using Proposition 16) in such a way that

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,\phi(t_l)}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},\phi(t_l)})}{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l})} < 1 + \epsilon$$

holds for all $l = 0, \dots, s$. Thus, by using Proposition 9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f,t,Z) - S(f,t,Z_1)| &\leq \epsilon \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \big(\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})\big) \\ &< \epsilon \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \big(\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_{l+1}}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l})\big) + \epsilon \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \big(\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})\big) \\ &= \epsilon \cdot \big(\mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_s,t_s}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{t_0,t_0})\big) = \epsilon \cdot \big(\mathrm{lmr}(g_t) - \mathrm{lmr}(g_0)\big) \end{aligned}$$

By replacing Z_1 with Z_2 we get $|S(f,t,Z) - S(f,t,Z_2)| \leq \epsilon\big(\mathrm{lmr}(g_t) - \mathrm{lmr}(g_0)\big)$. Consequently we have $|S(f,t,Z_1) - S(f,t,Z_2)| \leq \epsilon\big(\mathrm{lmr}(g_t) - \mathrm{lmr}(g_0)\big)$, so $S(f,t,Z)$ converges to a value $c(t) \in [0,\infty)$ if $|Z| \to 0$.

3) Next we will show that the function $t \mapsto c(t)$ is increasing. Let $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, $Z_1(n) := \{0, \frac{t_1}{n}, \frac{2t_1}{n}, \dots, t_1\}$, $Z_2(n) := \{t_1, t_1 + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{n}, t_1 + 2\frac{t_2-t_1}{n}, \dots, t_2\}$ and $Z(n) := Z_1(n) \cup Z_2(n)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(t_2) - c(t_1) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} S(f,t_1,Z(n)) - S(f,t_2,Z_1(n)) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} S(f,[t_1,t_2],Z_2(n)) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \qquad (\star\star)$$

Consequently, $t \mapsto c(t)$ is an increasing real-valued function. Thus this function is differentiable on $[0,T] \setminus \mathcal{N}_1$, where $\mathcal{N}_1$ denotes a zero set with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

4) Now we will show that $\lambda(t)$ exists almost everywhere. First we consider the function $t \mapsto \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t,t}) = \operatorname{lmr}(g_t)$ which is strictly increasing. Thus we find a zero set $\mathcal{N}_2$ such that $t \mapsto \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t,t}) = \operatorname{lmr}(g_t)$ is differentiable on $[0,T] \setminus \mathcal{N}_2$. Let $t_0 \in [0,T] \setminus \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$ and denote by $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence that converges to t_0 with $t_n > t_0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen in a way that $|t_n - t_0| < \delta$ holds for all $n \geq n_0$, where δ is chosen according to Proposition 16 with respect to ϵ. For now we fix $n \geq n_0$. Let $Z = \{t_0, \dots, t_s\}$ be an arbitrary partition of the interval $[t_0, t_n]$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} [\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})] - [\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_n,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_0,t_0})] \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \big([\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})] - [\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_0})] \big) \right| = *
\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows by adding zeros. By using Proposition 16 and Proposition 9 in combination with a telescoping sum as before, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
* &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{s} |\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})| \cdot \left| 1 - \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_0})}{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l})} \right| \\
&< \sum_{l=0}^{s} \big(\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_{l+1},t_l}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_l,t_l}) \big) \cdot \epsilon < \epsilon \cdot \big(\operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_n}) - \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0}) \big).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$|c(t_n) - c(t_0) - [\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_n,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_0,t_0})]| < \epsilon |\operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_n}) - \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0})|,$$

as $|Z|$ tends to zero. By dividing with $t_n - t_0$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{c(t_n) - c(t_0)}{t_n - t_0} - \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_n,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{t_0,t_0})}{t_n - t_0} \right| < \epsilon \left| \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_n}) - \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_0})}{t_n - t_0} \right|.$$

Since $t \mapsto c(t)$ and $t \mapsto \operatorname{lmr}(g_t)$ are differentiable at t_0, we get finally the existence of $\lambda(t_0)$. Furthermore, we conclude $\lambda(t_0) = \dot{c}(t_0)$. The other case $t_n \nearrow t_0$ follows in the same way.

□

However, this proof shows further interesting details, which we put together in the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *Denote by g_t and $f_{k;t,\tau}$ the corresponding mapping functions as mentioned before. Then the limits*

$$c_k(t) := \lim_{|Z|\to 0} S(f_k, t, Z)$$

exists and form increasing functions $c_k : [0,T] \to [0,\infty)$ *with* $c_k(0) = 0$ *for all* $k = 1,\dots,m$. *If* $t \mapsto c_k(t)$ *and* $t \mapsto lmr(g_t)$ *are differentiable at* t_0 *then the relation* $\lambda_k(t_0) = \dot{c}_k(t_0)$ *holds, i.e.* λ_k *exists in* t_0. *Furthermore, if* $g_t'(0) = e^t$, *i.e.* $lmr(g_t) = t$ *for all* $t \in [0,T]$ *the functions* $t \mapsto c_k(t)$ *are Lipschitz continuous in* $[0,T]$ *for all* $k = 1,\dots,m$ *and fulfil the condition* $\sum_{k=1}^m c_k(t) = t$ *for all* $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. First of all we will prove the Lipschitz continuity of $c_k(t)$ if $g_t = e^t$ holds for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $k = 1,\dots,m$. Therefore, let $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ and $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le T$ be fix. By using the same notation as in equation $(\star\star)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_k(t_2) - c_k(t_1) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} |S(f_k, [t_1,t_2], Z_2(n))| \\ &\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_2}) - \operatorname{lmr}(g_{t_1}) = t_2 - t_1, \end{aligned}$$

so c_k is Lipschitz continuous, since all values are nonnegative. Consequently the function $c(t) := \sum_{k=1} c_k(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous too and we get by Theorem 2 $\dot{c}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(t) \equiv 1$ almost everywhere in $[0,T]$. Thus $c(t) = t$ as $c(0) = 0$. □

Proof of Corollary 6. Let $t_0 \in [0,T]$ and $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ be fixed.

1) First of all we will prove that the value $\lambda_k(t_0)$ exists. Since k and t_0 are arbitrary chosen, the differential equation immediately follows by Corollary 4.

Therefore let be $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m \in C^2([0,T])$, $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ and $t_0 \in [0,T]$. We denote $H := f_{k;0,t_0}$. Consequently $\delta := H \circ \gamma_k \in C^2([0,T])$. Next we define $G_t := \mathbb{D} \setminus \delta[0,t]$ and denote by h_t the unique conformal mapping that maps G_t onto $\mathbb{D}$ with the normalization $h_t(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) > 0$. Observe that H and h_t depend on t_0.



Consequently, by applying Theorem 2 of [6], we find that the function $t \mapsto \operatorname{lmr}(h_t) = \ln h_t'(0)$ is in $C^1[0,T]$, so that we have due to $f_{k;t,t_0} = h_t \circ H$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\operatorname{lmr}(h_t)\Big|_{t=t_0} = \lim_{t\to t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t_0,t_0})}{t - t_0} = \lambda_k(t_0).$$

2) Next we prove the continuity of λ_k. Therefore, let $\epsilon > 0$ and denote $l(t) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathrm{lmr}(h_t)$. By Proposition 16 we find a $\delta > 0$ according to ϵ. Since $l(t)$ is continuous, we find a $0 < \rho < \delta$ so that

$$|l(t_0) - l(t)| < \epsilon$$

holds for all $t \in (t_0 - \rho, t_0 + \rho)$.
Moreover let $M := \max_{t\in[0,T]} l(t)$ and $t_1 \in (t_0 - \rho, t_0 + \rho)$ be fixed.
Let $\rho > 0$ be chosen so small that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_j+h,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_j,t_0})}{h} - l(t_j)\Big| &< \epsilon,\\ \Big|\frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_j+h,t_j}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_j,t_j})}{h} - \lambda(t_j)\Big| &< \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

holds for $j = 0, 1$ and $|h| < \rho$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_0})}{h} - \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_1}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_1})}{h}\Big|\\ &\quad= \frac{|\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_0})|}{h}\,\Big|1 - \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_1}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_1})}{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_0})}\Big|\\ &\quad\le (l(t_1) + \epsilon)\epsilon \le (M + \epsilon)\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing we have for all $t_1 \in (t_0 - \rho, t_0 + \rho)$

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda_k(t_0) - \lambda_k(t_1)| &= |l(t_0) - \lambda_k(t_1)|\\ &\le \Big|l(t_0) - \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_1}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_1})}{h}\Big| + \epsilon\\ &\le \Big|l(t_0) - \frac{\mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1+h,t_0}) - \mathrm{lmr}(f_{k;t_1,t_0})}{h}\Big| + \epsilon + (M + \epsilon)\epsilon\\ &\le |l(t_0) - l(t_1)| + 2\epsilon + (M + \epsilon)\epsilon < 3\epsilon + (M + \epsilon)\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

so the proof is complete.

□

5 Generalization to arbitrary domains

Theorem 2 can also be extended to arbitrary domains. Let Ω^* be an arbitrary n connected domain and $\gamma_k^* : [0, T] \to \bar{\Omega}^* \setminus \{z_0\}$ with $\gamma_k^*\big((0, T]\big) \subset \Omega^* \setminus \{z_0\}$ and accessible boundary points $\gamma_k^*(0) \in \partial\Omega^*$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Denote by

$$g_t^* : \Omega^*(t) := \Omega^* \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_k^*\big((0, t]\big)\right) \to D^*(t)$$

a conformal mapping with $g_t^*(z_0) = 0$ and $g_t^{*\prime}(z_0) > 0$, where $D^*(t)$ stands for a circularly slit domain. As mentioned before, this function is unique. The function $f^*_{k,t,\tau}$ is defined analogous as before. By $\xi_k^*(t)$ we mean the image of $\gamma_k^*(t)$ under the function g_t^* for all $k = 1, \dots, m$. Similar to Theorem 2 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 18. *Denote by g_t^* the corresponding mapping function as mentioned before. Assume the values $\lambda_k^*(t_0)$ $(k = 1, \dots, m)$ exist for $t_0 \in [0, T]$, then the function $g_t^*(z)$ is differentiable (w.r.t. t) at t_0 for every $z \in \Omega^*(t_0)$ and it holds*

$$\dot{g}^*_{t_0}(z) = g^*_{t_0}(z) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k^*(t_0) \cdot \Phi(\xi_k^*(t_0), g^*_{t_0}(z); t_0).$$

Furthermore, the functions $\lambda_k^*(t_0)$ fulfill the condition $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^*(t_0) = 1$, if the condition $g_t^{*\prime}(0) = c\, e^t$ holds in some neighborhood of t_0 with some $c > 0$.

Proof. Since there is a conformal mapping h that maps Ω^* onto a circularly slit disk Ω with $h(z_0) = 0$ and $h'(z_0) > 0$ we can transform the domain $\Omega^*(t)$ to $\Omega(t)$ by using the mapping h. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k^*(t_0) &= \lim_{t\to t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f^*_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f^*_{k;t,t_0})}{t - t_0} = \lim_{t\to t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0} \circ h) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0} \circ h)}{t - t_0} \\ &= \lim_{t\to t_0} \frac{\operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0}) - \operatorname{lmr}(f_{k;t,t_0})}{t - t_0} = \lambda_k(t_0)\end{aligned}$$

where f corresponds to $\Omega(t)$ as before. We can easily derive this result now from Theorem 2. □

Appendix

Lemma 19. *Let $(\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset [0, T]$ be sequences that converge to $\xi_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $t_0 \in [0, T]$ respectively. Then the sequence $\big(\Phi(\xi_n, g_{t_n}(w), t_n)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to $\Phi(\xi_0, g_{t_0}(w), t_0)$ on $(\Omega_{t_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Since $t_n \to t_0$ we have $\Omega_{t_n} \to \Omega_{t_0}$ in the sense of kernel convergence due to Caratheodory.
We will prove that the sequence $h_n(z) := \Phi(\xi_n, g_{t_n}(z), t_n)$ is normal. Let $(h_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence of $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We show that there is a subsequence $(m_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that for all compact sets $K \subset \Omega_{t_0}$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ exists a $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $|h_{m_j}(z) - h_0(z)| < \epsilon$ holds for all $z \in K$ and all $j \geq j_0$.
Therefore let $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact sets, so that $K_n \subset \Omega_{t_n}$, $K_n \subset \mathring{K}_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = \Omega_{t_0}$. By Montel's theorem we find a subsequence $(m_{1,j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of

$(n_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, so that $h_{m_{1,j}}$ converges uniformly on K_1. Inductively we find for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a subsequence $(m_{n+1,j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(m_{n,j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that $h_{m_{n+1,j}}$ converges uniformly on K_n. Consequently we set $m_j := m_{j,j}$, so h_{m_j} converges locally uniformly on $(\Omega_{t_{m_j}})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ to the analytic function h_0.
Next, we are going to show $h_0 \equiv \Phi(\xi_0, g_{t_0}, t_0)$. Since h_0 is not constant, h_0 is a conformal mapping of Ω_{t_0} onto the n-connected domain R. Hereby R is necessarily the right halfplane minus slits parallel to the imaginary axis. By using the uniqueness of the function Φ we find $h_0 \equiv \Phi(\xi_0, g_{t_0}, t_0)$.
As all subsequences $(h_{m_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge to the same function h_0, also the whole sequence $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges locally uniformly to h_0 on $(\Omega_{t_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. □

References

[1] R.O. Bauer, R.M. Friedrich, *On radial stochastic Loewner evolution in multiply connected domains.*, J. Funct. Anal. **237** (2006), no. 2, 565–588.

[2] R.O. Bauer, R.M. Friedrich, *On chordal and bilateral SLE in multiply connected domains*, Math. Z. **258** (2008), no. 2, 241–265.

[3] Ch. Böhm, S. Schleißinger, *Constant Coefficients in the Radial Komatu-Loewner Equation for Multiple Slits*, Also available on http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2279, preprint, 2013.

[4] J.B. Conway, *Functions of one complex variable. II.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 159. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. xvi, 394 p., 1995.

[5] R. Courant, *Dirichlet's principle, conformal mapping, and minimal surfaces: reprint*, Springer, 1977.

[6] C.J. Earle, A. Lawrence Epstein, *Quasiconformal variation of slit domains.*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 11, 3363–3372 (English).

[7] Y. Komatu, *Untersuchungen über konforme Abbildung von zweifach zusammenhängenden Gebieten.*, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan, III. Ser. **25** (1943), 1–42 (German).

[8] Y. Komatu, *On conformal slit mapping of multiply-connected domains.*, Proc. Japan Acad. **26** (1950), no. 7, 26–31.

[9] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, Dover Publications, 1975, New York, 1952, Reprint of the ed. published by McGraw-Hill, New York, in series: International series in pure and applied mathematics.

[10] D.V. Prokhorov, *Reachable Set Methods in Extremal Problems for Univalent Functions*, Saratov University, 1993.

[11] C. Zhen-Qing, F. Masatoshi, R. Steffen, *Chordal Komatu-Loewner equation and Brownian motion with darning in multiply connected domains*, preprint, 2013.

Christoph Böhm and Wolfgang Lauf
University of applied sciences Regensburg
93053 Regensburg
Germany

christoph1.boehm@extern.oth-regensburg.de
wolfgang.lauf@oth-regensburg.de